

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION**

LEGACY COMMUNITY
HEALTH SERVICES, INC.,
1415 California Street
Houston, TX 77006

Plaintiff,

v.

DR. KYLE L. JANEK, in his Official Capacity
as Executive Commissioner of the Texas
Health and Human Services Commission,
4900 North Lamar Boulevard,
Austin, TX 78751

Defendant.

Case No.: 4:15-CV-00025

**SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND
INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

Plaintiff Legacy Community Health Services, Inc. (“Legacy”) files this amended complaint to enjoin Dr. Kyle L. Janek, in his Official Capacity as Executive Commissioner of the Texas Health and Human Services Commission (hereafter referred to for simplicity as “HHSC”), from continuing to violate federal Medicaid payment requirements with respect to Legacy, a federally-qualified health center (“FQHC”). These violations have resulted in the decision of Texas Children’s Health Plan (“TCHP”), a Medicaid managed care organization (“MCO”), to terminate its provider agreement with Legacy, as well as Legacy’s inability to receive reimbursement for services it is required to provide to certain out-of-network patients.

HHSC’s policy of requiring that MCOs directly reimburse FQHCs at their full federal payment rates and its failure to ensure adequate payment for certain out-of-network services will

collectively result in irreparable harm to Legacy in the form of lost patients and revenue, as well as cuts in services. HHSC's actions will also substantially harm almost 14,000 Legacy patients in the Houston area, most of whom are children and expectant mothers, who will be displaced from their chosen health care providers and who will no longer have reasonable access to the comprehensive FQHC services that Legacy offers.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This action arises under the provisions of Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1396, *et seq*, including 42 U.S.C. § 1320a-2, 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

2. The Court has jurisdiction over Legacy's federal claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343(a)(3). Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). The declaratory and injunctive relief sought in this action is authorized under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 and 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

PARTIES

3. Plaintiff Legacy is an Internal Revenue Code § 501(c)(3) not-for-profit corporation established under the laws of the State of Texas that serves as a community health center providing comprehensive primary and preventive health care services at 22 locations around the greater Houston region.

4. The Texas Health and Human Services Commission ("HHSC") is designated as the "single state agency" that administers and is responsible for Texas's Medicaid program. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(5); 42 C.F.R. § 431.10. HHSC is the recipient of funds allocated to Texas under the Medicaid statute and is responsible for administering those funds in accordance with the statute, regulations promulgated thereunder, the State Medicaid plan, and the terms of any

agreement with the Federal Government regarding those funds. *See* Texas State Medicaid Plan § 1.1(a).

5. Defendant Dr. Kyle L. Janek is the duly appointed Executive Commissioner of HHSC. As such, he is the Texas State official ultimately charged with supervision and control of public assistance programs and services, including the Medicaid program. He is sued in his official capacity.

LEGAL FRAMEWORK

Health Centers

6. Community health centers are primarily § 501(c)(3) organizations that are eligible to receive grants under Section 330 of the Public Health Service (“PHS”) Act, 42 U.S.C. § 254b, in order to provide care to medically underserved populations in their communities. 42 U.S.C. §§ 254b(e), (k). Community health centers are required by Section 330, to, among other things: (1) serve a medically underserved population (42 U.S.C. § 254b(a)(1)); (2) provide primary health care services (42 U.S.C. §§ 254b(a)(1)(A) and 254b(k)(3)(A)); (3) provide health care services to Medicaid recipients (42 U.S.C. § 254b(k)(3)(E); and (4) serve all residents of their communities, regardless of any patient’s ability to pay. 42 U.S.C. §§ 254b(a)(1) and 254b(k)(3)(G)(iii).

7. As grant funds provided under Section 330 are to be used only to serve economically disadvantaged patients who are unable to pay for the medical services that the health center provides, 42 U.S.C. § 254b(e)(5)(A), community health centers are required to make every reasonable effort to collect reimbursement for services from all available funding sources, including Medicaid. 42 U.S.C. § 254b(k)(F).

The Medicaid Program

8. The Medicaid program was initiated in 1965, and is jointly supported by federal and state funds. Medicaid makes health care services available to needy individuals and families whose resources are insufficient to meet the costs of necessary medical services. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 1396-1(1). A state that elects to participate in Medicaid must submit and have approved a State Medicaid plan through which the state defines, *inter alia*, groups of individuals covered, eligibility conditions, medical care and services, reimbursement, and federal-State requirements. *See generally* 42 U.S.C. §§ 1396a(a)(1)-(65) and 42 C.F.R. Part 430, *et seq.* A State Medicaid Plan “must describe the policy and methods to be used in setting payment rates for each type of service included in the State’s Medicaid program.” 42 C.F.R. § 447.201(b). A State must also designate a “single state agency” that is responsible for administering the State’s Medicaid program. 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(5).

9. Under the Medicaid program, a community health center is deemed a “Federally-qualified health center,” or FQHC, if it is a recipient of funds under Section 330 and maintains an outpatient health program. 42 U.S.C. § 1396d(l)(2)(B). “Federally-qualified health center services . . . and any other ambulatory services offered by a Federally-qualified health center” *must* be covered under a State’s Medicaid plan. 42 U.S.C. §§ 1396d(a)(2)(C) and 1396a(a)(10)(A).

10. The Medicaid statute provides unique payment provisions for FQHCs. Currently this reimbursement obligation is based on a cost-related prospective payment system (“PPS”) methodology, which requires states to reimburse FQHCs on a prospective, or predetermined, rate per patient visit (also known as an “encounter”). 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(bb). The per visit reimbursement rate for each FQHC, which is uniform for patient encounters regardless of the

service performed during the visit, is computed on the basis of the average of 100 percent of the particular FQHC's reasonable costs for covered services in federal fiscal years 1999 and 2000 and is adjusted thereafter based on a medical inflation factor. 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(bb)(2). The PPS rate became effective January 1, 2001.

Medicaid Managed Care

11. States have the option of implementing their Medicaid programs through managed care systems. In such systems, a state contracts with MCOs (known generically as "health maintenance organizations" ("HMOs")) to provide and manage Medicaid services for a segment of the Medicaid population for which that MCO is responsible. 42 U.S.C. § 1396u-2(a)(1). In exchange for its services, an MCO receives a per-member per-month payment, called a "capitation" payment, from the state based on the number of beneficiaries enrolled with the MCO. 42 C.F.R. § 438.2. The MCO in turn contracts with various providers, including FQHCs, to provide services to its enrollees. An MCO contract is risk-based; to the extent an MCO can manage its enrollees' health care costs so that the amount the MCO pays in reimbursement to its providers is less than the amount it receives from the state in capitation payments, the MCO makes a profit. 42 C.F.R. § 438.6(c). If payments to providers exceed capitation payments, the MCO incurs a loss. *See* 42 C.F.R. § 438.2 (defining the risk-based MCO contract model). To protect against excessive gains or losses, this capitation payment also must be "actuarially sound." 42 U.S.C. § 1396b(m)(2)(A)(xiii)(II).

12. Federal law provides that "[i]n the case of services furnished by [an FQHC] pursuant to a contract between the center and a [MCO] . . . the State plan shall provide for payment to the center or clinic by the State of a supplemental payment equal to the amount (if any) by which the [statutorily required per-visit rate] exceeds the amount of the payments

provided under the contract.” 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(bb)(5)(A). These supplemental “wraparound” payments “shall be made . . . in no case less frequently than every 4 months.” *Id.* In addition, MCOs must pay FQHCs “not less” than they would pay non-FQHC providers for the same medical services. 42 U.S.C. § 1396b(m)(2)(A).

13. Further, in order to ensure that providers receive reimbursement for certain services that they are obligated to provide regardless of which MCO a patient is enrolled with, an MCO contract must provide that “in the case of medically necessary services which were provided . . . other than through the organization because the services were immediately required due to an unforeseen illness, injury, or condition, either the entity or the State provides for reimbursement with respect to those services.” 42 U.S.C. §1396b(m)(2)(A)(vii). Per federal law, in the case of an FQHC such as Legacy reimbursement for medically necessary services must be made at the FQHC’s PPS rate.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

14. Legacy was formed in 2005 as the result of a merger of two leading Houston area community organizations, the Montrose Clinic and The Assistance Fund, and received its first FQHC grant funding in 2006. It operates eight school-based clinics, two education/outreach locations, and 12 outpatient clinics, including one emergency room diversion clinic. Legacy offers comprehensive primary, behavioral, and dental services, as well as other enabling services including case management, patient education, and enrollment eligibility services, pharmacy services, and referral coordination.

15. Legacy is certified as an FQHC for the purposes of Medicaid provider participation and reimbursement. As an FQHC Legacy is reimbursed for Medicaid services

under the PPS system, and its PPS rate was approximately \$266 per encounter in 2012, \$271 per encounter in 2013, and is approximately \$270 per encounter at present.

16. Texas has implemented a Medicaid managed care payment system by which to arrange for the delivery of health care services to individuals who are enrolled in Medicaid. Tex. Gov. Code § 533.001, *et seq.* Pursuant to the implementation of that system, the Texas state Medicaid Plan provides for payment of wraparound funds to FQHCs through State Plan amendment 10-61, effective October 2, 2010, which notes that if “the total amount paid to an FQHC by a [MCO] is less than the amount the FQHC would receive under PPS . . .” the State will “reimburse the difference on a state quarterly basis.”

Changes to Texas’s FQHC Payment System and TCHP’s Response

17. Notwithstanding that language, since 2011 Texas has imbedded the amount of an FQHC’s full PPS rate directly into the monthly capitation payments it makes to MCOs. It has then, through MCO contracts, required MCOs to reimburse FQHCs at their PPS rates, instead of at negotiated rates. On June 17, 2011, as part of House Bill No. 1, the General Appropriations Bill, the Texas legislature amended the wraparound process by stating that: “[t]o the extent allowable by law, in developing the premium rates for Medicaid and CHIP Managed Care Organizations [], the Health and Human Services Commission shall include provisions for payment of the FQHC Prospective Payment System (PPS) rate and establish contractual requirements that require MCOs to reimburse FQHCs at the PPS rate.” That provision was later replicated in the 2013 appropriations bill. HHSC’s MCO contract with TCHP similarly states that:

The MCO must make reasonable efforts to include FQHCs . . . in its Provider Network. If a Member visits an FQHC . . . at a time that is outside of regular business hours (as defined by HHSC in rules, including weekend days or holidays), the MCO is obligated to reimburse the FQHC . . . for Medically Necessary Covered Services. The MCO must do so at a rate that is equal to the allowable rate for those services

The MCO must pay full encounter rates to FQHCs . . . using the prospective payment methodology described in Sections 1902(bb) and 2107(e)(1) of the Social Security Act. Because the MCO is responsible for the full payment amount in effect on the date of service, HHSC cost settlements (or “wrap payments”) will not apply.

18. This change specifying that MCOs must pay FQHCs at their PPS rates also appeared in TCHP’s provider agreement with Legacy. In 2009, when Legacy first entered into a provider contract with TCHP, the contract provided that Legacy would be reimbursed by TCHP at a rate of \$67.00 per visit, presumably a negotiated rate. On July 29, 2011, following HHSC’s policy change, Legacy’s contract with TCHP was amended to provide that TCHP would reimburse Legacy at its full PPS rate.

19. As a result of this 2011 contract amendment, on September 19, 2013, TCHP President Christopher Born contacted Legacy to note that Legacy’s increased visits were not covered by the “trend increase” built into TCHP’s capitation rate from HHSC, and that because “utilization at FQHCs” such as Legacy was increasing, TCHP’s rates from HHSC were insufficient to cover its costs.

20. On October 8, 2013, Mr. Born again wrote to Legacy noting that HHSC’s current wraparound payment model was “not sustainable” and that TCHP needed “immediate rate relief.” TCHP then requested that Legacy accept a per-encounter rate of \$133 for original Legacy sites and a rate of \$59 for acquired physician practices, less than Legacy’s PPS rate at the time.

21. On June 9, 2014, as part of an MCO rate-setting discussion with HHSC, TCHP noted that because of HHSC's inclusion of "the FQHC enhanced cost-based wrap payment into the MCO's premium rates," TCHP's "cost for FQHCs [] increase[d] from approximately \$59 per encounter to \$243 per encounter (312% increase)." TCHP claimed that this "increase is causing TCHP's medical expenses to increase disproportionately higher than other medical costs."

TCHP's November 2014 Termination of Legacy's Provider Agreement

22. On November 1, 2014, TCHP informed Legacy that TCHP was terminating Legacy's provider agreement effective February 1, 2015. TCHP stated that it was doing so due to a "utilization trend that far exceeds the trend in the Medicaid premium."

23. Following that notice Legacy sought to clarify the basis for the termination. In a December 1, 2014 email from TCHP President Christopher M. Born, Mr. Born stated:

The November 1st letter should not have been a surprise to Legacy. As you know, TCHP has had several meetings with you and your staff regarding the unsustainable trend. TCHP also previously provided to Legacy data illustrating this trend. To reiterate and update, Legacy membership has increased about 284% from 2012-2014 much of which is due to the acquisition of existing primary care, obstetric and behavioral health providers in the community. This has resulted in an increase of 283% in claims expense, *much of which is due to the conversion of an average office visit rate of \$59 for non-FQHC providers to the average rate paid to Legacy of \$293.*

(emphasis added.) In short, TCHP objected to paying Legacy at its mandatory PPS rate as required by HHSC.

24. Legacy attempted to resolve these issues with TCHP. In a meeting with TCHP on December 4, 2014, Mr. Born stated that TCHP did not intend to change its decision regarding termination, including denying Legacy's request that TCHP delay termination until the end of Legacy's contract period. He also reiterated that cost was the sole reason for TCHP's termination decision.

25. In a further attempt to resolve these issues, Legacy met with HHSC on December 12, 2014 to explain its concerns. At that meeting HHSC informed Legacy that it would not be able to render a decision on the issues until after January 1, 2015. Legacy also sent HHSC two letters, one before the December 12 meeting on December 9, 2014 and one after, on December 24, 2014, detailing Legacy's concerns with the wraparound payment process as well as the expected harm to Legacy's services and patients that would result from TCHP's termination of Legacy's provider agreement. Legacy's second letter specifically addressed the requirement that HHSC ensure reimbursement at Legacy's PPS rate for certain out-of-network services.

26. On December 19, 2014, TCHP informed Legacy that for out-of-network services "Legacy will need to obtain authorization to ensure that services can be evaluated to determine whether they qualify for payment." On January 8, 2015, HHSC confirmed that "for certain members" Legacy would need to seek "out of network authorization" to allow those patients to receive care after February 1, 2015.

27. Finally, on December 29, 2014, TCHP mailed a notification to Legacy's patients informing them that after February 1, 2015 Legacy would no longer be included in TCHP's network of providers. The notice further directed Legacy's patients to "pick a new main doctor" by January 31, 2015, or that if they failed to do so a new provider would be chosen for them. HHSC confirmed its support of this notice in its January 8, 2015 email to Legacy.

HARM TO PLAINTIFF

28. Because of HHSC's requirement that TCHP reimburse Legacy directly at its PPS rate rather than a negotiated rate, TCHP terminated Legacy's provider agreement, effective February 1, 2015, due to cost. Because of that termination, Legacy's patients who are enrolled with TCHP, most of whom are children and expectant mothers, will no longer be able to see their

usual Legacy providers unless their visit falls into a number of specific exceptions. Further, because of HHSC's failure to ensure adequate payment to Legacy for certain specified out-of-network visits, Legacy will not receive reimbursement when it provides care to out-of-network TCHP patients.

29. From November 1, 2013 to October 31, 2014, TCHP reimbursed Legacy for 51,869 patient visits relating to services provided to approximately 13,902 Medicaid patients enrolled with TCHP. For those visits, TCHP reimbursed Legacy approximately \$13,989,460. Legacy, as an FQHC serving a medically underserved patient population, the majority of whom are enrolled in Medicaid or uninsured, operates on a budget with an anticipated net income of only \$4,000,000. As such, a loss of almost \$14,000,000 in anticipated revenue would severely impact the financial stability of the organization.

30. This loss of revenue will force Legacy to close clinic locations and eliminate certain services. Specifically Legacy will need to close school-based clinics, a residency training program, and facilities providing dental, educational, social support, and adult behavioral health services. Further, Legacy will be forced to halt implementation of a variety of initiatives designed to improve the quality and continuity of services, including patient monitoring and connections to providers, such as purchasing analytical tools, hiring IT professionals, and constructing a data warehouse.

31. In addition to the harm to Legacy, HHSC's reimbursement policy will harm Legacy's patients and the quality of health care in Legacy's communities. Uprooting the almost 14,000 Legacy patients who are enrolled with TCHP from their chosen providers will harm those patients' continuity and quality of care, and will needlessly disrupt the provision of health care services. This is exacerbated by the fact that because Legacy is located in medically underserved

areas, many of its patients live at or below the poverty line and lack the transportation options and resources to visit other clinic sites, which will make transitioning patients to other non-Legacy providers even more challenging and harmful. Further, cuts to Legacy's services will harm all of its patients, regardless of whether they are enrolled with TCHP, as Legacy will be unable to maintain sufficient capacity to meet the significant need in its communities and its patients, and particularly the uninsured, will encounter significant challenges in obtaining comparable care from different providers.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I

42 U.S.C. § 1983

HHSC's Violation Of The FQHC Payment Provisions In 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(bb)

32. Legacy re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-31, above.

33. Federal law requires that states are responsible for providing for supplemental payments to FQHCs so as to ensure an FQHC's total reimbursement (*i.e.* MCO payments plus supplemental payments) equals its PPS rate. HHSC's policy of completely delegating the responsibility for making those supplemental FQHC payments to MCOs by purportedly incorporating the full value of FQHCs' PPS rates into the capitation payments it makes to MCOs is contrary to federal law.

34. In addition to the requirement that states not delegate the full responsibility for ensuring FQHCs receive reimbursement at their PPS rates, federal law also prescribes that "States cannot impose any requirement on MCOs for payments to [FQHCs]" other than to ensure that the "rates of payment between [FQHCs] and MCOs shall not be less than the amount of payment for a similar set of services [made to] a [non-FQHC]" provider. HHSC has improperly required that MCOs reimburse FQHCs such as Legacy at their higher PPS rates rather than at a

negotiated rate, and in doing so has incentivized MCOs to terminate their provider agreements with FQHCs due to cost – exactly what TCHP did here with respect to Legacy.

35. Lastly, federal law requires that states ensure MCO contracts describe whether the MCO or the state is responsible for reimbursing an FQHC at its PPS rate for certain out-of-network services provided under specific circumstances. TCHP's MCO contract with HHSC does not provide for such a payment protection, and TCHP has indicated that its understanding of the payment requirement for those out-of-network services is contrary to the plain language of federal law. As such, HHSC has violated federal Medicaid payment provisions for FQHCs by failing to ensure payment at Legacy's PPS rate for certain out-of-network services.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court enter an order:

1. Declaring HHSC's current policy of passing through its legal obligation to make supplemental payments to FQHCs in a managed care setting as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(bb)(5) contrary to law;
2. Enjoining HHSC from continuing to reimburse Legacy in a manner contrary to federal law;
3. Directing HHSC to implement a payment system for services provided by FQHCs that is compliant with all applicable federal laws and requirements;
4. Directing HHSC to ensure that Legacy will receive full PPS reimbursement for services it provides to out-of-network patients under statutorily specified circumstances;
5. Afford Legacy such further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

Respectfully submitted,

LEGACY COMMUNITY HEALTH SERVICES, INC.

By: /s/ Michael J. Collins

Michael J. Collins – Attorney-in-Charge
Texas Bar No. 04614510
Southern District of Texas I.D. No. 15643
COLLINS, EDMONDS, POGORZELSKI
SCHLATHER & TOWER, PLLC
1616 South Voss Rd., Suite 125
Houston, Texas 77057
Telephone: (713) 501-3425
Facsimile: (832) 415-2535
mcollins@cepiplaw.com

Of Counsel:

Edward T. Waters
Gregory M. Cumming
FELDESMAN TUCKER LEIFER FIDELL LLP
1129 20th Street, N.W., Fourth Floor
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 466-8960 (telephone)
(202) 293-8103 (facsimile)
ewaters@ftlf.com
gcumming@ftlf.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that all counsel of record who registered as filing users of the Court's CM/ECF system are being served with this filing per LR5.1.

February 5, 2015

/s/ Michael J. Collins
Michael J. Collins